



# Westside Promise Neighborhood Profile

Community Information Now  
Prepared for the United Way of San Antonio and Bexar County  
August 2017



**Contributors:**

Norma I. Garza, DrPH  
Laura McKieran, DrPH

**Suggested citation:**

Community Information Now. (2017). *Westside Promise Neighborhood Profile 2017*. San Antonio, TX: Community Information Now.

## Contents

Introduction .....	1
1. Physical Characteristics and Population.....	2
2. Households and Families .....	4
Housing Ownership and Housing Affordability .....	4
Household and Family Characteristics .....	6
3. Employment, Income, and Poverty .....	8
Employment and Labor Force Participation .....	8
Income and Poverty Level.....	10
4. Education.....	12
Educational Attainment Among Neighborhood Adults .....	12
Neighborhood Enrollment in School .....	13
Early Childhood and Kinder-Readiness .....	14
Target Campus Enrollment and Student Characteristics .....	15
Target Campus Student Attendance, Mobility, and Dropout.....	18
Target Campus Performance on Standardized Tests .....	19
Target Campus Suspension and Expulsion .....	21
Target Campus Graduation, College Readiness, and College Enrollment .....	22
5. Health and Safety.....	24
Births and Death .....	24
Food Accessibility.....	26
Health Insurance .....	27
Mental Health .....	28
Juvenile Probation Mental Health and Substance Use Screening.....	29
Crime .....	30
Technical Notes .....	31
Data Sources .....	31
Analysis and Limitations .....	31
Reference Maps .....	33
Additional Visualizations .....	35

## Introduction

Commissioned by the United Way of San Antonio and Bexar County on behalf of a broader partnership, this Westside Promise Neighborhood Profile presents quantitative data to inform planning for a Westside Promise Neighborhood. As described in greater detail in the Technical Notes, the Neighborhood Profile draws on reliable state and local datasets to paint a quantitative picture of area characteristics and of the strengths of and challenges faced by the children and families who live there. The data presented here are intended to complement and inform community voice emerging from the qualitative data and critical conversations held with neighborhood families and partner agencies like San Antonio Independent School District.

The Neighborhood Profile is organized into five topical sections: *Physical Characteristics and Population; Households and Families; Employment, Income, and Poverty; Education; and Health and Safety*. A supplementary *Technical Notes* section provides reference maps, notes on data sources, and cautions. Although data availability drives some variation, typically each section presents:

- Data tables showing a five-year trend, where available, for Westside Promise Neighborhood, defined as zip code tract area 78207 unless otherwise specified;
- Data tables showing a current snapshot comparison of Westside Promise Neighborhood with Bexar County, or in the case of the education data, with San Antonio Independent School District and Texas; and
- Narrative highlights of noteworthy patterns in the data, particularly in terms of disparities between Westside Promise Neighborhood and comparison geographies.

Much of the data used in this Neighborhood Profile comes from American Community Survey or some other survey and thus has a margin of error associated with the sample. The abbreviation “MOE” is used for margin of error throughout, whereas “Confidence Interval” is abbreviated “CI”. Wherever a “.” appears in a table, that data point is not currently available.

## 1. Physical Characteristics and Population

The Westside Promise Neighborhood (WPN), a predominately Hispanic neighborhood, covers 7.26 square miles and one zip code (78207) just west of downtown San Antonio.

### Key Points

- WPN's population density is five times that of Bexar County.
- WPN age distribution is similar to that of Bexar County but is 20% younger compared to that of the United States, with 31.3% percent of the WPN population 19 or younger compared to 26% in the U.S.
- A predominantly a Hispanic neighborhood of Mexican Heritage, the overwhelming majority of WPN residents are Hispanic (94%). The racial/ethnic distribution has remained stable over time.

**Table 1.1 WPN Total Estimated Population by Age Group and Race and Ethnicity.**

	2011		2012		2013		2014		2015	
	n	(MOE)	n	(MOE)	n	(MOE)	n	(MOE)	n	(MOE)
Total Population	55,890	(±2,188)	54,100	(±1,963)	53,946	(±1,834)	53,969	(±1,985)	52,990	(±1,826)
<b>Age</b>										
Under 5 Years	8.2%	(±1.2%)	8.2%	(±0.9%)	8.0%	(±1.0%)	8.3%	(±0.8%)	8.3%	(±0.8%)
5 to 9 Years	7.9%	(±0.8%)	8.0%	(±0.8%)	8.2%	(±0.8%)	8.8%	(±0.9%)	8.5%	(±0.8%)
10 to 14 Years	7.3%	(±0.8%)	7.1%	(±0.8%)	6.6%	(±0.6%)	7.2%	(±0.7%)	7.4%	(±0.8%)
15 to 19 Years	8.8%	(±0.9%)	8.6%	(±0.9%)	8.3%	(±1.0%)	7.7%	(±1.0%)	7.1%	(±0.7%)
20 to 64 Years	57.1%	(±0.8%)	57.0%	(±1.3%)	57.7%	(±1.5%)	57.1%	(±1.2%)	57.3%	(±1.2%)
65 and Older	10.6%	(±0.8%)	11.1%	(±0.8%)	11.1%	(±0.8%)	10.9%	(±0.6%)	11.4%	(±0.7%)
<b>Race and Ethnicity</b>										
Hispanic	92.9%	(±1.0%)	92.9%	(±1.0%)	92.7%	(±1.0%)	93.6%	(±0.9%)	93.9%	(±0.8%)
Non-Hispanic White	4.1%	(±0.9%)	4.2%	(±0.9%)	4.3%	(±0.7%)	3.4%	(±0.6%)	3.5%	(±0.7%)
Non-Hispanic Black	2.6%	(±0.5%)	2.4%	(±0.6%)	2.5%	(±0.7%)	2.4%	(±0.8%)	2.2%	(±0.5%)
Non-Hispanic Other	0.5%	(±0.2%)	0.5%	(±0.2%)	0.5%	(±0.2%)	0.6%	(±0.3%)	0.4%	(±0.2%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP05.

**Table 1.2. Comparison of Total Area and Population Density: WPN and Bexar County.**


	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar County
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
Total area in square miles	7.26 mi <sup>2</sup>	1,256 mi <sup>2</sup>
Population Density	7,299 (±252)	1,453 (-)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP05.

**Table 1.3. Comparison of Current Demographic Characteristics: WPN and Bexar County.**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar County
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
<b>Population</b>		
Total	52,990 ( $\pm 1,826$ )	1,825,502 (-)
Males	27,053 ( $\pm 1,165$ )	897,690 ( $\pm 110$ )
Females	25,937 ( $\pm 989$ )	927,812 ( $\pm 110$ )
<b>Age</b>		
Under 5 Years	8.3% ( $\pm 0.8\%$ )	7.3% (-)
5 to 19 Years	23.0% ( $\pm 1.2\%$ )	22.1% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )
20 to 64 Years	57.3% ( $\pm 1.2\%$ )	59.6% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )
65 Years and Older	11.4% ( $\pm 0.7\%$ )	11.0% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )
<b>Race and Ethnicity</b>		
Hispanic	93.9% ( $\pm 0.8\%$ )	59.1% (-)
Non-Hispanic White	3.5% ( $\pm 0.7\%$ )	29.3% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )
Non-Hispanic Black	2.2% ( $\pm 0.5\%$ )	7.1% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )
Non-Hispanic Other	0.4% ( $\pm 0.2\%$ )	4.5% ( $\pm 0.1\%$ )

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP05.

**Figure 1.1. Population per square mile**

Source: The Health Collaborative. (2016). *2016 Bexar County Community Health Needs Assessment Report*. San Antonio, TX: The Health Collaborative. (Reprinted with permission)

## 2. Households and Families

### Housing Ownership and Housing Affordability

#### Key Points

- Despite the high population density, the WPN housing unit vacancy rate, a common measure of neighborhood blight, is 57% higher than that of Bexar County.
- In 2015, the median home value in the WPN was less than half that of the county, at \$58,000 compared to \$129,400 in Bexar County
- More than half of all households are renter-occupied, significantly higher than Bexar County. The trend of renter-occupied units is increasing in both WPN and Bexar County.
- Nearly two-thirds of all WPN owner-occupied households do not have a mortgage, indicating some degree of housing stability for these families. Because Texas has no income tax, however, property tax foreclosure is often a threat for lower-income people, especially younger people who do not qualify for the “over 65” property tax exemption.
- The percentage of total occupied housing units with costs greater than 30% of household income is 28% less than that of Bexar County. Although only 22% of owner-occupied housing have costs greater than 30% of income, more than half of renter-occupied housing units incur higher costs relative to income.

**Table 2.1 WPN Housing Occupancy, Tenure, and Cost Burden**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>					
Total Housing Units	17,483 (±364)	17,530 (±282)	17,769 (±304)	18,047 (±266)	18,007 (±298)
Occupied Units	86.2% (±1.7%)	85.4% (±1.7%)	86.5% (±1.9%)	86.6% (±1.4%)	87.0% (±1.6%)
Vacant Units	13.8% (±1.7%)	14.6% (±1.7%)	13.5% (±1.9%)	13.4% (±1.4%)	13.0% (±1.6%)
<b>Owner vs. Renter Occupancy</b>					
All Occupied	15,071 (±385)	14,978 (±361)	15,374 (±419)	15,634 (±352)	15,667 (±375)
Owner Occupied	49.4% (±2.6%)	48.2% (±2.2%)	46.6% (±2.7%)	43.9% (±2.3%)	45.4% (±2.1%)
with no mortgage	64.1% (±3.6%)	64.3% (±3.9%)	62.0% (±3.4%)	65.3% (±3.2%)	65.3% (±3.1%)
Renter Occupied	50.6% (±2.6%)	51.8% (±2.2%)	53.4% (±2.7%)	56.1% (±2.3%)	54.6% (±2.1%)
<b>Occupied Housing Units with Housing Costs Greater Than or Equal to 30% of Income</b>					
All Occupied Units	37.3% (±2.9%)	37.5% (±3.0%)	38.7% (±3.1%)	40.0% (±2.9%)	39.5% (±2.9%)
Owner Occupied	24.1% (±3.7%)	25.1% (±3.4%)	25.5% (±3.5%)	24.3% (±3.1%)	22.4% (±3.3%)
Renter Occupied	51.7% (±4.4%)	50.6% (±4.9%)	52.0% (±4.8%)	53.8% (±4.5%)	55.5% (±4.5%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP04.

**Table 2.2 Comparison of Housing Tenure: WPN and Bexar County**

	<b>Westside Promise Neighborhood</b>	<b>Bexar County</b>
	<b>n (MOE)</b>	<b>n (MOE)</b>
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>		
Total Housing Units	18,007 (±298)	675,208 (±670)
Occupied Housing Units	87.0% (±1.6%)	91.7% (±0.3%)
Vacant Housing Units	13.0% (±1.6%)	8.3% (±0.3%)
<b>Housing Tenure</b>		
Total Occupied Housing Units	15,667 (±375)	618,831 (±2,443)
Owner Occupied	45.4% (±1.9%)	58.5% (±0.5%)
Percent without a mortgage	65.3% (±3.2%)	35.7% (±0.4%)
Renter Occupied	54.6% (±2.3%)	41.5% (±0.5%)
<b>Housing Tenure</b>		
Total Occupied Housing Units with Costs >30% of Income	39.5% (±2.9%)	55.1% (±0.5%)
Owner Occupied	22.4% (±3.3%)	22.7% (±0.5%)
Renter Occupied	55.5% (±4.5%)	48.7% (±0.8%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP04.



## Household and Family Characteristics

### Key Points

- The percent of WPN households that are headed by a married-couple family is significantly lower than Bexar County, and WPN households are almost twice as likely to be headed by a female householder with no husband present.
- WPN families are more likely than Bexar County families to have both younger and older children in the house.
- A somewhat smaller percentage of WPN grandparents living with their grandchildren are responsible for the care of those grandchildren compared to Bexar County. This trend has declined from 2011 to 2015 in both WPN and the county.

**Table 2.3 WPN Household and Family Characteristics**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
<b>Households (Family and Non-Family) <sup>a</sup></b>					
Total Households	15,071 (±385)	14,978 (±361)	15,374 (±419)	15,634 (±352)	15,667 (±375)
Married-Couple Family	33.7% (±2.5%)	32.3% (±2.4%)	31.6% (±2.0%)	31.0% (±2.3%)	30.4% (±2.4%)
Male Householder, no wife present	7.5% (±1.6%)	7.1% (±1.5%)	7.0% (±1.1%)	7.1% (±1.6%)	6.8% (±1.4%)
Female Householder, no husband present	29.4% (±2.4%)	29.0% (±2.5%)	29.8% (±2.0%)	29.9% (±2.5%)	30.0% (±2.0%)
Nonfamily Household	29.4% (±2.3%)	31.7% (±2.2%)	31.6% (±2.1%)	32.0% (±2.5%)	32.7% (±2.6%)
<b>Families <sup>a</sup></b>					
Total Households	5,080 (±406)	4,885 (±344)	5,093 (±283)	5,383 (±404)	5,042 (±315)
Married-Couple Family	17.5% (±4.3%)	15.9% (±4.0%)	17.2% (±3.8%)	16.6% (±3.4%)	15.9% (±3.6%)
Male Householder, no wife present	26.0% (±4.3%)	29.4% (±4.7%)	28.1% (±4.2%)	27.1% (±3.6%)	27.4% (±4.2%)
Female Householder, no husband present	56.4% (±5.5%)	54.7% (±4.6%)	54.7% (±4.5%)	56.3% (±4.5%)	56.7% (±4.1%)
Nonfamily Household	5,080 (±406)	4,885 (±344)	5,093 (±283)	5,383 (±404)	5,042 (±315)
<b>Grandparents <sup>b</sup></b>					
Grandparents Living with Grandchildren	2,672 (±379)	2,378 (±442)	2,171 (±374)	2,209 (±389)	2,208 (±378)
Responsible for grandchildren	44.8% (±5.9%)	47.3% (±6.9%)	41.0% (±7.1%)	34.8% (±6.9%)	30.5% (±7.6%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table <sup>a</sup>S1101 and <sup>b</sup>S1002.

**Table 2.4 Comparison of Current Household Characteristics: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
<b>Households</b>		
Total Households	15,667 (±375)	618,831 (±2,443)
Married-Couple Family	30.4% (±2.4%)	45.7% (±0.5%)
Male Householder, no wife present	6.8% (±1.4%)	5.5% (±0.2%)
Female Householder, no husband present	30.0% (±2.0%)	16.6% (±0.4%)
Nonfamily Household	32.7% (±2.6%)	32.2% (±0.4%)
<b>Families <sup>a</sup></b>		
Family Households with Children	5,042 (±315)	201,072 (±2,479)
Under 6 Years Only	15.9% (±3.6%)	21.4% (±0.8%)
Under 6 Years and 6 to 17 Years	27.4% (±4.2%)	21.1% (±0.7%)
6 to 17 Years Only	56.7% (±4.1%)	57.5% (±0.8%)
<b>Grandparents <sup>b</sup></b>		
Grandparents Living with Grandchildren	2,208 (±378)	58,635 (±1,946)
Responsible for grandchildren	30.5% (±7.6%)	36.6% (±2.3%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table <sup>a</sup>S1101 and <sup>b</sup>S1002.

### 3. Employment, Income, and Poverty

#### Employment and Labor Force Participation

##### Key Points

- There has been an increase in the WPN adult population (ages 20 to 64) in the labor force. The labor force includes people who are employed or are unemployed and looking for a job, but not people who are unemployed and for any reason not seeking work.
- WPN adult females are just as likely as males to be in the labor force, unlike Bexar County.
- WPN's percent of working age male and females who are in the labor force and are unemployed is twice as high as that of Bexar County.

**Table 3.1 WPN Percent of Working-Age Adults in Labor Force, Employed, and Unemployed**


	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
All Adults 20-64	31,908 (±1,182)	30,810 (±1,313)	31,147 (±1,305)	30,794 (±1,351)	30,343 (±1,072)
In Labor Force	54.5% (±2.0%)	54.5% (±2.0%)	57.5% (±2.2%)	60.4% (±2.2%)	62.0% (±1.8%)
Unemployed	13.7% (±2.0%)	15.0% (±2.3%)	16.0% (±2.0%)	14.3% (±2.0%)	13.9% (±1.6%)
Males 20-64	17,825 (±957)	16,914 (±908)	17,249 (±919)	16,589 (±1,184)	16,125 (±757)
In Labor Force	53.4% (±3.0%)	52.9% (±2.5%)	53.7% (±3.1%)	59.0% (±3.6%)	62.8% (±2.7%)
Unemployed	12.8% (±2.5%)	13.9% (±2.7%)	14.3% (±2.7%)	13.1% (±2.5%)	12.7% (±2.0%)
Females 20-64	14,083 (±592)	13,896 (±717)	13,898 (±693)	14,205 (±737)	14,218 (±611)
In Labor Force	55.9% (±3.4%)	56.5% (±3.2%)	62.1% (±2.6%)	62.0% (±2.9%)	61.0% (±2.5%)
Unemployed	14.7% (±3.1%)	16.2% (±3.0%)	17.8% (±2.8%)	15.6% (±2.8%)	15.4% (±2.8%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S2301.

**Table 3.2 Comparison of Current Employment Characteristics: WPN and Bexar County.**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar County
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
All Adults 20-64	30,343 (±1,072)	1,088,059 (±127)
In Labor Force	62.0% (±1.8%)	76.6% (±0.3%)
Unemployed	13.9% (±1.6%)	6.8% (±0.3%)
Males 20-64	16,125 (±757)	537,441 (±89)
In Labor Force	62.8% (±2.7%)	82.9% (±0.4%)
Unemployed	12.7% (±2.0%)	6.6% (±0.3%)
Females 20-64	14,218 (±611)	550,618 (±89)
In Labor Force	61.0% (±2.5%)	70.4% (±0.5%)
Unemployed	15.4% (±2.8%)	7.0% (±0.3%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S2301.

**Figure 3.1 Percent of working-age adults in the labor force who are unemployed**

Source: The Health Collaborative. (2016). *2016 Bexar County Community Health Needs Assessment Report*. San Antonio, TX: The Health Collaborative. (Reprinted with permission)

## Income and Poverty Level

### Key Points

- Both WPN median household income and median family income are less than half that of Bexar County.
- The proportion of all WPN residents (41%) with incomes below the federal poverty level (FPL) is more than double that of Bexar County (17%).
- WPN families are nearly three times more likely to be under the federal poverty level compared to Bexar County. The percent of families below the poverty has remained stable over recent years.

**Table 3.3 WPN Income and Poverty Characteristics**


	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
<b>Median Income</b>					
Household	\$24,197 (±1,524)	\$23,276 (±1,353)	\$22,605 (±1,844)	\$22,894 (±2,142)	\$23,744 (±2,385)
Family	\$26,768 (±1,656)	\$26,013 (±1,676)	\$26,010 (±1,864)	\$26,348 (±1,296)	\$26,860 (±1,627)
<b>Percent with Incomes below 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL)</b>					
Population	55,890 (±2,188)	54,100 (±1,963)	53,946 (±1,834)	53,969 (±1,985)	52,990 (±1,826)
All People	40.6% (±3.3%)	42.6% (±3.5%)	42.0% (±2.7%)	42.1% (±2.8%)	40.7% (±2.6%)
Under 18 Yrs	58.9% (±5.3%)	61.4% (±5.5%)	59.1% (±4.1%)	58.4% (±4.8%)	58.6% (±4.5%)
18 to 64 Yrs	32.0% (±3.0%)	34.5% (±2.8%)	35.0% (±2.8%)	35.7% (±2.7%)	33.7% (±2.5%)
65 Yrs & Over	32.6% (±5.2%)	32.3% (±5.4%)	33.0% (±4.4%)	31.2% (±4.2%)	30.3% (±3.7%)
Families	10,633 (±451)	10,233 (±401)	10,519 (±408)	10,633 (±429)	10,541 (±379)
All Families	36.8% (±3.7%)	38.5% (±3.5%)	38.6% (±3.1%)	39.0% (±3.1%)	38.1% (±2.8%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP03.

**Table 3.4 Comparison of Current Income and Poverty Characteristics: WPN and Bexar County.**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar County
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
<b>Income</b>		
Median Household Income	\$ 23,744 (±2,385)	\$ 51,150 (±405)
Median Family Income	\$ 26,860 (±1,627)	\$ 59,348 (±803)
<b>Poverty</b>		
Population	52,990 (±1,826)	1,825,502 (-)
All People Below Poverty	40.7% (±2.6%)	17.4% (±0.4%)
Under 18 Years Below Poverty	58.6% (±4.5%)	24.9% (±0.8%)
18 to 64 Years Below Poverty	33.7% (±2.5%)	15.3% (±0.4%)
65 Years and Over Below Poverty	30.3% (±3.7%)	11.3% (±0.5%)
Families	10,541 (±379)	1,825,502 (-)
Families Below Poverty	38.1% (±2.8%)	17.4% (±0.4%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP03.

**Figure 3.1. Median Family Income**

Source: The Health Collaborative. (2016). *2016 Bexar County Community Health Needs Assessment Report*. San Antonio, TX: The Health Collaborative. (Reprinted with permission)



## 4. Education

### Educational Attainment Among Neighborhood Adults

#### Key Points

- WPN adults 25 and older are nearly three times as likely as Bexar County not to have high school diploma or GED.
- Bexar County adults are six times as likely to have Bachelor's or graduate degree.
- A sizable proportion of WPN adults, though, have some college and might be able to complete a degree with appropriate supports.
- With margins of error considered, no meaningful trend emerges across time; educational attainment for WPN adults is stable.

**Table 4.1. Percent of WPN adults age 25 and older by highest level of education attained**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
Population 25 and older	32,323 (±1,053)	31,753 (±1,127)	31,989 (±1,172)	31,917 (±1,241)	31,963 (±1,068)
Less than high school diploma/GED	47.3% (±2.2%)	49.0% (±2.3%)	47.4% (±2.2%)	46.8% (±2.1%)	47.3% (±2.0%)
High school diploma or GED	32.1% (±2.1%)	30.0% (±1.8%)	31.1% (±1.8%)	31.9% (±1.8%)	30.6% (±1.4%)
Some college or Associate's degree	16.1% (±1.5%)	16.6% (±1.4%)	17.1% (±1.4%)	17.1% (±1.4%)	17.6% (±1.5%)
Bachelor's degree or higher	4.5% (±0.9%)	4.4% (±0.8%)	4.4% (±0.8%)	4.2% (±0.7%)	4.4% (±0.7%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B15002

**Table 4.2. Comparison of Current Educational Attainment: WPN and Bexar Co.**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar County
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
Population 25 and older	31,963 (±1,068)	1,201,795 (±933)
Less than high school diploma/GED	47.3% (±2.0%)	16.7% (±0.3%)
High school diploma or GED	30.6% (±1.4%)	25.2% (±0.3%)
Some college or Associate's degree	17.6% (±1.5%)	31.4% (±0.4%)
Bachelor's degree or higher	4.4% (±0.7%)	26.7% (±0.3%)
Population 25 and older	31,963 (±1,068)	1,201,795 (±933)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1501

## Neighborhood Enrollment in School

### Key Points

- The Percentage of 3- to 4-year olds enrolled in school, either public or private, is low but comparable to Bexar County and Texas. (Enrollment is not required until first grade.)
- Enrollment is high among WPN children and teenagers 5 to 17 years old.
- A large percentage of WPN adults 18 to 24 years old are not enrolled in school at all – 80% of males and 67% of females – a rate much higher than Bexar County or Texas.
- A larger proportion of young adults age 18 to 24 and older adults 35 and over who are enrolled anywhere are in private schools, but still most in public schools.

**Table 4.3. Percent of Population Enrolled in Public or Private School by Age Group and Sex**

Age Group and Sex	WPN	Bexar County	Texas
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
<b>Percent of Population Enrolled in School (Public or Private)</b>			
Population 3 to 4 years	41% (±9%)	41% (±2%)	42% (±1%)
Population 5 to 9 years	95% (±3%)	96% (±0%)	96% (±0%)
Population 10 to 14 years	99% (±1%)	99% (±0%)	99% (±0%)
Population 15 to 17	96% (±3%)	97% (±0%)	97% (±0%)
Population 18 to 19 years	66% (±8%)	67% (±2%)	72% (±0%)
Population 20 to 24 years	24% (±5%)	40% (±1%)	39% (±0%)
Population 25 to 34 years	8% (±2%)	15% (±1%)	12% (±0%)
Population 35 years and over	2% (±1%)	4% (±0%)	3% (±0%)
Population 18 to 24 years	26% (±4%)	38% (±1%)	38% (±0%)
Males 18 to 24 years	20% (±5%)	34% (±1%)	34% (±0%)
Females 18 to 24 years	33% (±6%)	43% (±1%)	42% (±0%)
<b>Percent of Enrolled Population in Public School Rather Than Private School</b>			
Population 3 to 4 years	95% (±5%)	65% (±3%)	62% (±1%)
Population 5 to 9 years	99% (±1%)	91% (±1%)	92% (±0%)
Population 10 to 14 years	99% (±1%)	92% (±1%)	94% (±0%)
Population 15 to 17	94% (±3%)	93% (±1%)	94% (±0%)
Population 18 to 19 years	78% (±9%)	85% (±1%)	89% (±0%)
Population 20 to 24 years	74% (±9%)	81% (±1%)	86% (±0%)
Population 25 to 34 years	93% (±7%)	78% (±2%)	83% (±1%)
Population 35 years and over	80% (±9%)	67% (±2%)	76% (±1%)
Population 18 to 24 years	67% (±9%)	80% (±1%)	86% (±0%)
Males 18 to 24 years	71% (±11%)	81% (±2%)	86% (±1%)
Females 18 to 24 years	64% (±12%)	78% (±2%)	85% (±0%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1401



## Early Childhood and Kinder-Readiness

### Key Points

- Only about one in five WPN first-graders is considered “very ready” to learn per the Early Development Instrument (EDI), comparable to the full set of assessed first-graders in participating school districts.
- WPN first-graders are somewhat more likely than the full set of first-graders to be assessed as vulnerable in two or more EDI domains
- No meaningful trend emerges over time for WPN despite modest gains for the full set of first-graders assessed.

**Table 4.4. Percent of WPN first-graders considered vulnerable or very ready to learn**

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Vulnerable in two or more domains	13.8%	15.8%	14.7%	14.3%
Very ready in four or more domains	19.6%	19.6%	16.5%	21.0%

Source: United Way of San Antonio and Bexar County, Early Development Instrument, 2012-2015

**Table 4.5. Percent of all assessed first-graders\* considered vulnerable or very ready to learn**

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Vulnerable in two or more domains	14.5%	11.7%	11.3%	11.0%
Very ready in four or more domains	17.8%	22.4%	23.5%	23.9%

Source: United Way of San Antonio and Bexar County, Early Development Instrument, 2012-2015

\*Trended dataset consists of assessed first-graders residing in 245 census tracts in the following school districts: Edgewood ISD, Harlandale ISD, North East ISD, Northside ISD, and San Antonio ISD

## Target Campus Enrollment and Student Characteristics

### Key Points

- All three target campuses have high student enrollment.
- Tafolla Middle School's enrollment has been declining, but elementary and high school enrollment are holding steady or growing.
- Students are overwhelmingly Hispanic at all three campuses.
- Students are overwhelmingly economically disadvantaged at all three campuses, but the percentage is lower and appears to be dropping slightly at Lanier HS.
- The percentage of students at-risk is growing over time at Lanier HS and Tafolla MS, but dropping at JT Brackenridge ES.
- Percentage of ELL students is pretty steady at JT Brackenridge ES and Tafolla MS, but growing at Lanier HS.
- Special Education enrollment is growing as both a number and a percent at JT Brackenridge ES and Tafolla MS, but dropping at Lanier HS.

**Table 4.6. WPN Enrollment by Campus by Grade Level by School Year**

Campus and Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17*
<b>JT Brackenridge Elementary School (ES)</b>					
PK/EE	154	144	144	141	151
K	119	118	117	119	95
1	99	113	124	121	116
2	114	93	100	122	123
3	88	103	81	98	118
4	70	82	93	84	82
5	72	75	82	84	70
<b>Total</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>741</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>755</b>
<b>Tafolla Middle School (MS)</b>					
6	280	288	277	262	224
7	256	280	264	259	241
8	291	244	271	263	245
<b>Total</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>710</b>
<b>Lanier High School (HS)</b>					
9	544	584	501	574	622
10	437	433	494	423	465
11	382	401	345	449	359
12	279	324	359	332	435
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,642</b>	<b>1,742</b>	<b>1,699</b>	<b>1,778</b>	<b>1,881</b>

Sources: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2012-13 through 2015-16

\*Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System, 2016-17

**Table 4.7. Percent of WPN Students by Campus by Race/Ethnicity**

Campus and Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17*
<b>JT Brackenridge ES</b>					
African-American	5.9%	5.4%	5.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Hispanic	92.3%	93.8%	93.7%	93.6%	93.6%
Non-Hispanic White	1.5%	0.7%	0.9%	1.2%	1.1%
Other	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.8%	1.3%
<b>Tafolla MS</b>					
African-American	0.8%	1.7%	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Hispanic	98.4%	96.9%	96.3%	96.4%	97.6%
Non-Hispanic White	0.6%	1.4%	1.8%	0.8%	.
Other	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	.
<b>Lanier HS</b>					
African-American	0.8%	1.7%	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Hispanic	98.4%	96.9%	96.3%	96.4%	97.6%
Non-Hispanic White	0.6%	1.4%	1.8%	0.8%	.
Other	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	.

Sources: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2012-13 through 2015-16

\*Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System, 2016-17

**Table 4.8. Comparison of 2016-17 Student Race/Ethnicity: WPN, San Antonio ISD, and Texas**

	WPN	SAISD	Texas
<b>Elementary School</b>			
African-American	3.8%	6.8%	12.6%
Hispanic	93.6%	90.3%	53.4%
Non-Hispanic White	1.1%	2.0%	26.8%
Other	1.3%	0.8%	7.1%
<b>Middle School</b>			
African-American	1.0%	5.7%	12.5%
Hispanic	97.6%	91.5%	52.1%
Non-Hispanic White	.	2.0%	28.6%
Other	.	0.8%	6.8%
<b>High School</b>			
African-American	1.0%	6.4%	12.7%
Hispanic	97.6%	91.0%	50.9%
Non-Hispanic White	.	1.4%	1.8%
Other	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%

Source: Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System, 2016-17

**Table 4.9. Percent of WPN Students by Campus by Vulnerability**

<b>Campus and Grade</b>	<b>2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17*</b>
<b>JT Brackenridge ES</b>					
Economically Disadvantaged	99.4%	99.6%	98.9%	99.6%	99.6%
English Language Learner	13.4%	13.3%	12.7%	13.4%	13.0%
Disciplinary Placement	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	.
At-risk	81.3%	80.9%	74.6%	69.7%	83.2%
Special Education	4.5%	4.3%	5.3%	6.1%	5.7%
<b>Tafolla MS</b>					
Economically Disadvantaged	96.3%	94.6%	95.3%	94.8%	94.2%
English Language Learner	14.9%	17.2%	16.3%	15.6%	17.0%
Disciplinary Placement	4.7%	4.3%	4.9%	4.3%	.
At-risk	55.6%	72.7%	77.2%	75.1%	81.5%
Special Education	.	9.2%	10.1%	11.9%	11.0%
<b>Lanier HS</b>					
Economically Disadvantaged	95.4%	94.3%	92.2%	92.2%	88.3%
English Language Learner	15.9%	16.0%	16.4%	16.9%	17.2%
Disciplinary Placement	4.4%	2.4%	2.4%	3.9%	.
At-risk	78.0%	84.5%	83.9%	85.5%	88.0%
Special Education	17.8%	16.1%	14.5%	13.7%	13.2%

Sources: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2012-13 through 2015-16

\*Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System, 2016-17

## Target Campus Student Attendance, Mobility, and Dropout

### Key Points

- JT Brackenridge ES and Tafolla MS are struggling on ADA, but Lanier HS is improving slightly over recent years.
- The percentage of students mobile by the TEA definition – a student-level measure rather than the GPRA campus-level measure – is dropping markedly at JT Brackenridge ES, and less so at Lanier HS, but increasing at Tafolla MS. This mobility pattern echoes the enrollment pattern.
- Lanier HS's Grade 9-12 dropout rate is consistently lower than SAISD but consistently higher than Texas.

**Table 4.10. Average Daily Attendance and Mobility by Campus by School Year**

Campus/Comparison	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
<b>Average Daily Attendance</b>						
JT Brackenridge ES	97.2%	97.0%	97.2%	96.8%	.	.
Tafolla MS	95.1%	95.0%	94.7%	94.9%	.	.
Lanier HS	91.3%	90.9%	92.2%	92.4%	.	.
SAISD (all campuses)*	95.0%	95.0%	95.3%	95.0%	.	.
Texas (all campuses)*	95.9%	95.8%	95.9%	95.7%	.	.
<b>Percent of Students Considered Mobile</b>						
JT Brackenridge ES	26.0%	23.1%	17.2%	18.3%	.	.
Tafolla MS	14.6%	15.9%	17.7%	17.7%	.	.
Lanier HS	22.7%	20.8%	23.0%	19.3%	.	.
SAISD (all campuses)*	26.0%	24.3%	24.7%	24.5%	.	.
Texas (all campuses)*	17.9%	17.1%	16.9%	16.5%	.	.

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2011-12 through 2015-16

\* SAISD/Texas include all campuses and grades so are not comparable with individual WPN campuses

**Table 4.11. Annual Dropout Rate by Grade Level by School Year**

	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
<b>WPN</b>						
Grades 7-8	0.9%	0.7%	2.1%	1.3%	.	.
Grades 9-12	3.9%	2.9%	3.9%	3.0%	.	.
<b>San Antonio ISD</b>						
Grades 7-8	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%	1.1%	.	.
Grades 9-12	4.6%	4.2%	4.4%	3.5%	.	.
<b>Texas</b>						
Grades 7-8	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	.	.
Grades 9-12	2.4%	2.2%	2.2%	2.1%	.	.

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2011-12 through 2015-16

## Target Campus Performance on Standardized Tests

### Key Points

- Due to the multiple changes over recent years in the threshold for passing the STAAR standardized test, the percent passing cannot be compared year-to-year. Because the threshold has been the same across all of Texas, however, the ratio WPN percent passing to SAISD and Texas percent passing can be examined for trend.
- WPN students have been passing 3<sup>rd</sup> grade reading and math standardized tests at a slightly higher rate than San Antonio ISDI, comparable with Texas overall. However, the trend is that that gap is closing - either WPN 3<sup>rd</sup> graders are doing worse over time, or TX is doing better.
- WPN English Language Learner (ELL) students fall somewhat behind the rest of the campus in math and far behind in English by high school End-of-Course (EOC) exams.

**Table 4.12. Percent of Students Passing Standardized Test by Grade Level and Subject, with Comparisons**

	2012-2013 <sup>1</sup>	2013-2014 <sup>2</sup>	2014-2015 <sup>2</sup>	2015-2016 <sup>3</sup>	2012-2013 <sup>1</sup>	2013-2014 <sup>2</sup>	2014-2015 <sup>2</sup>	2015-2016 <sup>3</sup>
<b>3rd Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
All students at WPN campus	79%	67%	77%	57%	76%	76%	.	59%
ELL students at WPN campus	.	54%	55%	57%	.	77%	.	50%
SAISD Comparison Campuses	63%	57%	62%	57%	53%	56%	.	57%
Texas Comparison Campuses	81%	76%	77%	73%	70%	71%	.	75%
<b>5th Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
All students at WPN campus	74%	83%	88%	74%	64%	83%	.	80%
ELL students at WPN campus	.	77%	86%	64%	73%	92%	.	79%
SAISD Comparison Campuses	78%	76%	79%	65%	79%	80%	.	75%
Texas Comparison Campuses	87%	86%	87%	85%	88%	88%	.	86%
<b>8th Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
All students at WPN campus	76%	73%	61%	73%	70%	64%	.	62%
ELL students at WPN campus	70%	68%	36%	70%	70%	73%	.	60%
SAISD Comparison Campuses	80%	81%	76%	75%	72%	73%	.	62%
Texas Comparison Campuses	90%	90%	88%	87%	86%	86%	.	82%
<b>End of Course (EOC)</b>	<b>English I</b>				<b>Algebra I</b>			
All students at WPN campus	51%	45%	47%	42%	54%	64%	48%	54%
ELL students at WPN campus	27%	33%	38%	24%	42%	53%	41%	47%
SAISD Comparison Campuses	58%	51%	57%	53%	67%	71%	66%	62%
Texas Comparison Campuses	69%	67%	71%	65%	78%	80%	81%	78%

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2012-13 through 2015-16

<sup>1</sup> Percent at Phase-in 1 Level II or Above

<sup>2</sup> Percent at Phase-in Satisfactory Standard or Above

<sup>3</sup> Percent at Level II Satisfactory Standard or Above

**Table 4.13. WPN Percent Passing Expressed as a Ratio of Percent Passing at SAISD and Texas Comparison Campuses**

	2012-2013 <sup>1</sup>	2013-2014 <sup>2</sup>	2014-2015 <sup>2</sup>	2015-2016 <sup>3</sup>	2012-2013 <sup>1</sup>	2013-2014 <sup>2</sup>	2014-2015 <sup>2</sup>	2015-2016 <sup>3</sup>
<b>3rd Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
Ratio to SAISD Comparison	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.4	.	1.0
Ratio to Texas Comparison	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.1	.	0.8
<b>5th Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
Ratio to SAISD Comparison	0.9	1.1	.	1.1	0.8	1.0	.	1.1
Ratio to Texas Comparison	0.9	1.0	.	0.9	0.7	0.9	.	0.9
<b>8th Grade</b>	<b>Reading/ELA</b>				<b>Math</b>			
Ratio to SAISD Comparison	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.9	.	1.0
Ratio to Texas Comparison	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	.	0.8
<b>End of Course (EOC)</b>	<b>English I</b>				<b>Algebra I</b>			
Ratio to SAISD Comparison	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	.	0.9
Ratio to Texas Comparison	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	.	0.7

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2012-13 through 2015-16

<sup>1</sup> Percent at Phase-in 1 Level II or Above

<sup>2</sup> Percent at Phase-in Satisfactory Standard or Above

<sup>3</sup> Percent at Level II Satisfactory Standard or Above

## Target Campus Suspension and Expulsion

### Key Points

- Tafolla MS students were twice as likely as Lanier HS students to have one or more in-school suspensions (detentions). The same is true for out-of-school suspension.
- The rate of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students at Tafolla MS is more than ten times higher than the rate at Lanier HS.

**Table 4.14. Percent of WPN Students Receiving One or More In-School or Out-of-School Suspensions**

	Tafolla MS		Lanier HS	
	N	% Enrolled	N	% Enrolled
<b>Total enrollment</b>				
Total	816	100%	1744	100%
Male	396	100%	921	100%
Female	420	100%	823	100%
<b>Students receiving one or more in-school suspensions</b>				
Total	216	26%	251	14%
Male	139	35%	163	18%
Female	77	18%	88	11%
<b>Students receiving only one out-of-school suspension</b>				
Total	87	11%	127	7%
Male	40	10%	79	9%
Female	47	11%	48	6%
<b>Students receiving more than one out-of-school suspension</b>				
Total	104	13%	52	3%
Male	65	16%	42	5%
Female	39	9%	10	1%
<b>Students receiving one or more out-of-school suspensions</b>				
Total	191	23%	179	10%
Male	105	27%	121	13%
Female	86	20%	58	7%

Source: U.S. Department of Education Office of Civil Rights

**Table 4.15. Number of Expulsions per 1,000 Enrolled Students**

	Tafolla MS		Lanier HS	
	N	Rate per 1,000 Students	N	Rate per 1,000 Students
Total	33	40.4	4	2.3
Male	29	73.2	2	2.2
Female	4	9.5	2	2.4

Source: U.S. Department of Education Office of Civil Rights



## Target Campus Graduation, College Readiness, and College Enrollment

### Key Points

- Although Lanier HS's four-year federal longitudinal graduation rate "bounces around" somewhat, it is higher than that of SAISD and improving over recent years. It still lags Texas' federal graduation rate.
- Lanier HS's percentage of students considered college-ready in both English and math lags far behind both SAISD and Texas. The college-ready measure was calculated differently beginning in 2014-2015, but the disparity remains consistent.
- The percentage of Lanier's graduates in TX IHE that complete one year without remediation is improving over time.

**Table 4.16. Four-Year Federal Longitudinal Graduation Rate (Without Exclusions)**

	Class of 2011	Class of 2012	Class of 2013	Class of 2014	Class of 2015
Lanier HS	77.6%	82.1%	81.9%	80.1%	83.1%
SAISD High Schools	75.0%	79.4%	81.3%	78.2%	79.8%
Texas High Schools	85.9%	87.7%	88.0%	88.3%	89.0%

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2011-12 through 2015-16

**Table 4.17. State 4-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rate (With Exclusions)**

	Class of 2011	Class of 2012	Class of 2013	Class of 2014	Class of 2015
<b>Lanier HS</b>					
Graduated	78.3%	82.7%	83.8%	81.7%	85.2%
Received GED	1.1%	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Continued HS	7.6%	4.9%	2.4%	2.7%	3.4%
Dropped Out	13.0%	12.0%	13.5%	15.3%	11.1%
<b>SAISD High Schools</b>					
Graduated	79.0%	81.8%	83.3%	80.8%	82.4%
Received GED	0.8%	90.0%	0.4%	50.0%	0.4%
Continued HS	7.7%	5.1%	4.2%	4.4%	5.6%
Dropped Out	12.5%	12.1%	12.1%	14.3%	11.5%
<b>Texas High Schools</b>					
Graduated	85.9%	87.7%	88.0%	88.3%	89.0%
Received GED	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%
Continued HS	6.2%	5.0%	4.6%	4.3%	4.1%
Dropped Out	6.8%	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	6.3%

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2011-12 through 2015-16

**Table 4.18. Percent of Graduates College-Ready, Enrolling in Texas Higher Education, and Completing One Year Without Remediation**

	<b>Class of 2011</b>	<b>Class of 2012</b>	<b>Class of 2013</b>	<b>Class of 2014</b>
Lanier HS	30.0%	33.0%	34.0%	29.0%
SAISD High Schools	41.0%	51.2%	49.8%	46.7%
Texas High Schools	26.1%	25.9%	38.6%	42.3%
Lanier HS	39.0%	43.0%	42.0%	39.0%
SAISD High Schools	49.1%	52.2%	49.3%	51.3%
Texas High Schools	28.3%	39.8%	50.5%	51.5%
Lanier HS	52.0%	57.0%	56.0%	54.0%
SAISD High Schools	58.3%	57.3%	56.9%	57.5%
Texas High Schools	66.1%	69.0%	70.8%	70.5%

Source: Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Reports, 2011-12 through 2014-15

## 5. Health and Safety

### Births and Death

#### Key Points

- The percentage of birth following late or no prenatal care has increased in both WPN and Bexar Co.
- WPN teen birth rate (15 to 19 years) per 1,000 female population is almost twice as high as that of Bexar County.
- Rate of pregnancy and childbirth complications is higher in WPN than Bexar County.
- Average age at death is slightly lower than the county average, thus WPN residents die younger than the Bexar County and U.S. average.

**Table 5.1 WPN Births**

	2011	2012	2013
Total Live Births	984	979	1,043
Births Following Late or No Prenatal Care	34.7%	42.5%	50.3%
Pre-term Births	13.2%	13.5%	12.8%
Teen Birth Rate (15 to 19 Years) per 1,000 pop	64.6	72.6	68.7

Source: City of San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, Health Profiles.

**Table 5.2 Comparison of 2013 Births: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
Total Live Births	1,043	26,590
Births Following Late or No Prenatal Care	50.3%	40.7%
Pre-term Births	12.8%	11.4%
Teen Birth Rate (15 to 19 Years) per 1,000 pop	68.7	39.7

Source: City of San Antonio Metropolitan Health District; 2013, Health Profiles.

**Table 5.3 Comparison of Pregnancy/Childbirth Complications: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
Pregnancy/Childbirth Complications per 1,000 Live Births	310.5	288.0

Source: Texas Hospital Inpatient Public Use Data File, 2015. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics

**Table 5.4 WPN Average Age at Death**


	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (95% CI)	n (95% CI)	n (95% CI)	n (95% CI)	n (95% CI)
Average Age at Death	69.3 (67.4, 71.2)	69.3 (67.5, 71.1)	67.6 (65.6, 69.6)	69.8 (68.1, 71.4)	67.5 (65.5, 69.5)

Source: Texas Death Certificate Data. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics.

**Table 5.5 Comparison of Age at Death: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
	n (95% CI)	n (95% CI)
Average Age at Death	67.5 (65.5, 69.5)	70.9 (70.5, 71.2)

Source: Texas Death Certificate Data, 2015. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics.

**Figure 5.1. Percentage of adults with self-reported good or excellent health**


Source: The Health Collaborative. (2016). *2016 Bexar County Community Health Needs Assessment Report*. San Antonio, TX: The Health Collaborative. (Reprinted with permission.)

## Food Accessibility

### Key Points

- All of the census tracts within WPN are low income, with some also having low accessibility to supermarkets with healthy food.

Figure 5.2 Food Access



Source: 2015 USDA Economic Research Service, Food Access Research Atlas. \*Low-income census tracts where a significant number or share of residents is more than 1 mile (urban) or 10 miles (rural) from the nearest supermarket.

## Health Insurance

### Key Points

- WPN residents, especially adults ages 18-64, are two times more likely to be uninsured when compared to Bexar County. Insurance trend remains stable.

**Table 5.6 WPN Population Percent with No Health Insurance by Age Group**

	2012	2013	2014	2015
	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)	n (MOE)
Pct Uninsured: All Ages	29.9% (±1.9%)	29.6% (±1.8%)	29.4% (±1.8%)	29.2% (±1.7%)
Age 0-17	13.8% (±2.8%)	10.7% (±2.5%)	10.8% (±2.3%)	11.5% (±2.3%)
Age 18-64	44.8% (±2.6%)	45.1% (±2.5%)	44.7% (±2.4%)	43.7% (±2.2%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S2701.

**Table 5.7 Comparison of Current Population Uninsured: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
	Estimate (MOE)	Estimate (MOE)
<b>Income</b>		
Pct Uninsured: All Ages	29.2% (±1.7%)	14.7% (±0.7%)
Age 0-17	11.5% (±2.3%)	6.6% (±0.9%)
Age 18-64	43.7% (±2.2%)	20.7% (±1.0%)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S2701.

## Mental Health

### Key Points

- Hospitalizations with a Mental Illness diagnosis are less common among WPN residents ages 65 and older than Bexar County.

**Table 5.8 WPN All-Cause Hospitalizations with a Mental Illness Diagnosis per 10,000 Population**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Age 18-64	106.9	108.7	96.9	85.9	117.4
Age 65 and older	43.9	51.4	23.4	37.2	39.6

Source: Texas Hospital Inpatient Public Use Data File. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics & U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B1001.

**Table 5.9 All-Cause Hospitalizations with a Mental Illness Diagnosis per 10,000 Population: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood	Bexar Co.
Age 18-64	117.4	106.0
Age 65 and older	39.6	55.9

Source: Texas Hospital Inpatient Public Use Data File, 2015. Texas Department of State Health Services, Center for Health Statistics & U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B1001.

## Juvenile Probation Mental Health and Substance Use Screening

### Key Points

- WPN girls referred to juvenile probation are screening at moderate/high risk for various mental health and substance use issues at least as often as boys in every category, and screen higher than boys in several areas. (Girls aren't screened for thought disturbance because it's so much more common in boys.)
- Screening results indicate that younger children age 10-13 are at moderate/high risk as well, not just older children.

**Table 5.10 Comparison of Juvenile Probation Intake MAYSI-2 Screening Results, 2008-2009: WPN and Bexar County**

	Males		Females	
	78207	Bexar	78207	Bexar
<b>Alcohol or Drug Use*</b>				
Age 10-13	4%	9%	13%	11%
Age 14-15	15%	17%	11%	16%
Age 16-18	15%	19%	20%	16%
<b>Angry or Irritable*</b>				
Age 10-13	34%	37%	46%	47%
Age 14-15	34%	34%	45%	48%
Age 16-18	20%	29%	24%	38%
<b>Depressed or Anxious*</b>				
Age 10-13	27%	28%	33%	37%
Age 14-15	32%	22%	30%	38%
Age 16-18	18%	20%	31%	37%
<b>Suicidal Ideation*</b>				
Age 10-13	10%	10%	23%	24%
Age 14-15	7%	9%	25%	21%
Age 16-18	6%	7%	8%	16%
<b>Somatic Complaints*</b>				
Age 10-13	40%	40%	44%	55%
Age 14-15	44%	37%	61%	52%
Age 16-18	30%	34%	43%	49%
<b>Thought Disturbance*</b>				
Age 10-13	25%	25%		
Age 14-15	22%	19%		
Age 16-18	21%	17%		

Source: Bexar County Juvenile Probation Department

\*Percent of assessments, not unduplicated people



## Crime

### Key Points

- The rate of family violence incidents per 1,000 population is almost two times higher for WPN residents when compared to Bexar County. One incident may involve one or many victims.
- The WPN violent crime rate per 1,000 population is similar to that of Bexar County.

**Table 5.11 WPN Crime Rate**

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Violent Crime Rate per 1,000 population	4.7	7.5	5.4	
Family Violence Incidents per 1,000 population			10.3	10.6

Source: San Antonio Police Department.

**Table 5.12 Comparison of Crime Rate: WPN and Bexar County**

	Westside Promise Neighborhood		Bexar Co.	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Violent Crime Rate per 1,000 population	5.4		5.5	
Family Violence Incidents per 1,000 population	10.3	10.6	5.4	5.7

Source: San Antonio Police Department, 2014-2015.

## Technical Notes

### Data Sources

This neighborhood profile contains quantitative data for a wide range of indicators related to child and family well-being and ability to engage and do well in school. Where available, indicators have been disaggregated by race/ethnicity, age group, campus and grade level, or other relevant characteristic. The list of indicators was developed from the Federal Register guidance, UWSA's and CI:Now's understanding of what issues are important to student and family well-being, and CI:Now's knowledge of what reliable data would be available on a short timeline.

Each indicator source is cited throughout the neighborhood profile. The profile draws from too many data sources to list here, including a number of local private administrative datasets, but the following sources were used heavily.

- Social and economic conditions data from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates
- Education data from the Texas Education Agency's Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) and Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) datasets
- Kinder-readiness data from UWSA's Early Development Instrument (EDI) dataset
- Vital statistics, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), and hospital discharge data from the Texas Department of State Health Services
- Child abuse and neglect data from the Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services
- Family violence and other crime data from the San Antonio Police Department
- Youth health-related data from the The Witte Museum's HEB Body Adventure initiative, and highly valuable local dataset given that few Bexar County schools participate in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS).

Staff from these and many other local and state agencies spent time, in some cases significant, pulling data and sharing important context and cautions for that data. CI:Now and UWSA are indebted to these individuals and the agencies who allowed them to share their time and expertise.

### Analysis and Limitations

As no statistical testing was needed for the neighborhood profile, analysis of the data typically consisted of calculating proportions and rates, with margins of error or confidence intervals where appropriate. Margins of error and confidence intervals are displayed throughout the neighborhood profile. Margins of error were minimized where feasible by using multi-year estimates or three-year moving averages. Where no such solution was feasible and margins of error were quite wide, data values were suppressed and noted appropriately.

Some indicators are broken out geographically by eight sub-county sectors based on Zip Code Tract Areas (ZCTAs), as zip code is a common variable across many local and state datasets. A sector map and ZCTA cross-walk appears at the end of this section.


These sectors were developed for the 2013 Bexar County Community Health Needs Assessment in response to the problem of small sample sizes, particularly with regard to the BRFSS dataset. BRFSS and some other survey data could not be presented at the zip code/ZCTA or smaller level because of small numbers that compromised privacy and resulted in unstable rates and extremely wide confidence intervals. CI:Now used a non-statistical process to group adjacent ZCTAs with median household incomes (from Census American Community Survey five-year estimates) more similar than not, and with the aim of having a sufficiently large and preferably similar total population size for each sector. The final groupings, though, also took into account our own local understanding of our “parts of town” as reflected in the commonly-used divisions of north-, south-, east-, and westside. While not ideal, the sector groupings appeared to “hold” fairly well during the 2013 neighborhood profile and were retained for this neighborhood profile.

On the following page is a map of the eight sub-county sectors described above, and a cross-walk between sectors and ZCTAs appears below the map. Other Bexar County reference maps follow with select jurisdictional boundaries overlaid.

## Reference Maps

### Geographic Reference: Bexar County Zip Codes

Bexar County Zip Codes & Sub-County Sectors



Near Eastside	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Near Westside	Far Northwest	Near Northside	Far Northside
78202	78109	78101	78002	78201	78006	78209	78015
78203	78148	78112	78069	78204	78023	78212	78231
78205	78152	78214	78073	78207	78249	78213	78232
78208	78154	78222	78211	78227	78250	78216	78247
78210	78233	78223	78221	78228	78251	78217	78248
78215	78239	78263	78224	78229	78253	78230	78257
78218	78244		78225	78237	78254		78258
78219			78226	78238	78255		78259
78220			78236	78240	78256		78260
			78242				78261
			78245				78266
			78252				
			78264				

Source: Community Information Now (CI:Now), 2012

**Population Below 100% Poverty >> % of Population Under 18 (2015) >> San Antonio ISD**

Name	% of Population Under 18 (2015)
Bexar County	22.3
Texas	23.0
United States	20.7

Name	% of Population Under 18 (2015)
78215	75.3
78202	65.6
78207	58.6
78203	53.3
78204	51.7
78225	44.3
78208	42.4

**Population Below 100% Poverty**  
Definition: Individuals whose total family income in the past 12 months fell below 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL).

**Legend:** 0.0%, 0.1% - 15.0%, 15.1% - 30.0%, 30.1% - 45.0%, 45.1%+

**Map Data:** 78207: 58.6

**Poverty Rate by Year (2011-2015):**

Year	Poverty Rate (%)
2011	~55
2012	~55
2013	~55
2014	~55
2015	~55

**Family Income Distribution (Pie Chart):**

- Below FPL (Purple): ~45%
- FPL - 1.5x FPL (Blue): ~35%
- 1.5x FPL - 3x FPL (Green): ~15%
- Above 3x FPL (Yellow): ~5%


Source: American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates 2007-2011 through 2011-2015, Table DP03

## Additional Visualizations


Following this page are additional infographics and charts prepared to assist with parent coffees and other community planning conversations.

# WESTSIDE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD

## STRONG START




Vulnerable on 1+ Domains




On Track on All Domains

## 3RD GRADE READING




Students are on par with the district in terms of reading, but **below the state achievement average.**

## MIDDLE SCHOOL



IN-SCHOOL  
SUSPENSION 2013




OUT-OF-SCHOOL  
SUSPENSION 2013

## HIGH SCHOOL



80-85% graduate



1/3 are college ready



20% of graduating seniors complete one year of higher education

## Kinder Readiness

The Early Development Instrument (EDI) provides a neighborhood-level measure of kindergarten students' readiness to learn in the areas of physical health and well-being; social competence; emotional maturity; language and cognitive development; and communication skills and general knowledge in relation to developmental benchmarks.


## Definition

Percentage of students assessed who are vulnerable on one or more domains & percentage of students assessed who are on track on all domains.

## Source

Transforming Early Childhood Community Systems. Early Development Instrument (EDI), 2016.

## Results: Year 2016





### 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading

Reading proficiency by the end of the third grade is one of the most important benchmarks in a child's academic journey and a major predictor of future educational outcomes.


#### Definition

Percentage of 3<sup>rd</sup> grade students who met the Level II Satisfactory Standard or above.

#### Source

Texas Education Agency; Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), 2015-2016.

#### Results: Year 2015-2016



## Suspensions

Suspensions are associated with negative outcomes such as lower academic performance, higher rates of dropout, failures to graduate on time, decreased academic engagement, and future disciplinary exclusion.



### Definition

Percentage of enrolled students who received one or more in-school suspensions; and percentage of students who received more than one out-of-school suspension.

### Source

Department of Education; Office for Civil Rights (OCR), 2013.

### Results: Year 2013



## Graduation Rate

Federal graduation rate is the cohort of students who first attended ninth grade in 2011–12. They are followed through their expected graduation with the class of 2015.


## Definition

Percentage of 9<sup>th</sup> grade cohort graduating within 4 years.

## Source

Texas Education Agency; Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), 2014-2015.

## Trend: WPN 2011-2015



## College Ready

The term college ready is generally applied to (1) students who are considered to be equipped with the knowledge and skills deemed essential for success in university, college, and community-college programs, or (2) the kinds of educational programs and learning opportunities that lead to improved preparation for these two and four year collegiate programs.

## Definition

Percentage of graduates who scored at or above the college-ready criteria on both ELA and mathematics.

## Source

Texas Education Agency; Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), 2014-2015.

## Trend: WPN 2011-2015

